

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,531	08/30/2001	Carl Risinger	GG119.2US	9953
75	90 11/15/2004	EXAMINER		INER
Bruce D. Gran	t		JOHANNSEN, DIANA B	
Biotechnology I 658 Marsolan A		:	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Solana Beach, CA 92075-1931			1634	
			DATE MAIL ED. 11/15/200	4

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Eveniner Initiated Interview Summary	09/943,531	RISINGER ET AL.			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Diana B. Johannsen	1634			
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>per</u>	nding			
(1) <u>Diana Johannsen</u> .	(3)				
(2) Bruce Grant.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 12 November 2004	Time: NA (telephonic)				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: NA					
Claims discussed: new claim 7					
Prior art documents discussed: NA					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
	÷				
Diana PS					
DIANA JOHANNSEN PRIMARY EXAMINER					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	nt/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Applicants' representative regarding what appeared to be a typographical error in new claim 7 (specifically, the recitation in the "identifying" step of "three or more polymorphic sites" as opposed to "two or more polymorphic sites"). The examiner noted that the error created confusion with regard to Applicants' prior election such that a new Election/Restriction requirement might be necessary in order for a complete first action to be prepared. Applicants' representative agreed that this was a typographical error and indicated that he would most likely file a supplemental amendment to correct the discrepancy; however, Applicant's representative indicated that he would first need to obtain and review the application file. As the examiner will be out of the Office beginning next week and until the end of December, Applicants' representative agree to contact the examiner's supervisor (Gary Jones) during the week of November 15 if the supplemental amendment will not be filed.